THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.718 of 1998

Dated: 13.4.2007

Between:

C.Srinivasula Reddy

… Petitioner

AND

The Managing Director,
A.P.S.R.T.C.,Musheerabad,
Hyderabad.

And others.

… Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.718 of 1998

ORDER:

None appears for the petitioner. Sri V.Sriman Narayana representing Sri P.Vinayaka Swamy, learned counsel for the respondents.

This writ petition is filed for a writ of mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in not preparing the list of trained apprentices year wise for employment in the APSRTC as arbitrary and illegal and consequently to direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner in any other suitable post in preference to outsiders.

In the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition the petitioner claimed that he underwent apprenticeship in APSRTC and he relied upon a circular No.PD.87/88, dated 6.9.1988 issued by the respondent Corporation wherein 50% vacancies were directed to be filled up by ITI candidates. It is the contention of the petitioner that though he is qualified for being considered against 50% vacancies earmarked for appointment in the post of Motor Mechanic, Body Builder, Artisan, Cleaner, his case is not being considered.

In the counter affidavit filed by Sri M.Narayana, Chief Law Officer on behalf of the respondents, claim of the petitioner that he underwent apprenticeship training in APSRTC during the year 1986-87 was denied, but it is admitted that the petitioner underwent this training in

Dharmavaram depot of Anantapur region from 30.3.1991 to 29.3.1992. It is further stated that it has issued a circular No.PD.27/97 dated 17.4.1997 and selections are being made based on the order of seniority maintained in respect of candidates who underwent apprenticeship under APSRTC to fill up 50% of posts earmarked for them strictly following roaster system. It is further stated that there are about 123 candidates in the waiting list and that the case of the petitioner will be considered as and when his turn comes in accordance with the aforementioned circular.

Having regard to the averments contained in the counter affidavit which are not controverted by the petitioner, he is not entitled to any relief in the writ petition. The writ petition is therefore dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY,J

Dt :13.4.2007
Mdaa.